

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,249	08/03/2006	Gunter Leopold	FISCP0102US	9694
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7590 03/09/200 ALINO (GENERAL)	EXAMINER		
RENNER, OTT	O, BOISSELLE & SK	MERLINO, ALYSON MARIE		
1621 EUCLID A CLEVELAND,	AVENUE, NINETEEN OH 44115-2191	ITH FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVEEAND,	011 44113 2171		3676	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/568,249	LEOPOLD, GUNTER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alyson M. Merlino	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO peniod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THE O	ATION. Note: A strong the strong of the str				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	hruany 2006					
'=	, 					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	r parto quayro, 1000 C.D.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	, ,,,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
<u> </u>						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
, ,	 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
_						
_						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 14 February 2006.	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/568,249

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show how restoring rib 24 rides over the second restoring device 14 in order to maintain the mass 11 in the position shown in Figure 2, especially, a figure showing the receptacle in the open position detailing the position of the safety locking mechanism with respect to the housing, as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3676

Specification

2. The specification is objected to because it fails to recite cross-references to related applications, specifically, applicant claims priority within the Declaration to German Application 103 40 673.5 with a date of 04/09/2003, but does not recite this proclamation in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, within the preamble of claim 1, it is stated that the safety locking mechanism is for a receptacle in a vehicle. This is a statement of intended use, therefore, if applicant wants to refer to the receptacle within the body of claim 1 and the dependent claims, than the receptacle must be positively claimed within the claim; i.e. a safety locking mechanism in combination with a receptacle in a vehicle. For examination purposes, the safety locking mechanism will be considered in combination with the receptacle.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

 Applicant claims second restoring device, however, the mechanical relationship

Art Unit: 3676

between the second restoring device 14 and the restoring rib 24 in unclear in the specification. Specifically, it is unclear how the second restoring device 14 will maintain the mass 11 in channel 20 as the restoring rib 24 moves over the second restoring device. Until further clarification from applicant, the claim will be broadly interpreted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukumoto (US-5052728).
- 8. In regards to claim 1, Fukumoto discloses a safety locking mechanism (Figure 5) having a mass 12 movably guided by guide means 11, 21 from a basic position (Figure 9) into a deflected position (Figure 8). Fukumoto further discloses that the mass holds the receptacle closed when in the deflected position (Figure 8). Fukumoto also discloses that the safety locking mechanism has a device 20 with hold the mass in the basic position when no acceleration or deceleration acts in the deflection direction on the mass. The safety locking mechanism also includes an engaging device 23, which holds the mass in the deflected position (Figure 8), and a restoring device 24 effective by applying an overpressure to the receptacle, which directs the mass into the basic position (Col. 6, lines 51-68 and Col. 7, lines 1-12).

Art Unit: 3676

9. **In regards to claims 2 and 3**, Fukumoto discloses a damping element 30, 31, 32that acts against the application of an overpressure to the receptacle (Col. 7, lines 33-43).

10. In regards to claim 5, Fukumoto discloses a second restoring device 9.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The claim contains allowable subject matter not fairly disclosed by the prior art pertaining to the mass being deflectable in two opposing directions, with the mass being held in deflected position by the engaging device, and the ability of the mass to hold the receptacle closed in each deflected position.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Asano (US-5386636) discloses a safety locking device of similar function to that of the claimed device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyson M. Merlino whose telephone number is (571) 272-2219. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AM AM March 1, 2007

> uzhan) Dino Barren L'rinany Escariner